Contrasting Spectral Signatures and Sensitivities of CPA-Lasing in a $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetric Periodic Structure

Li Ge*
Department of Engineering Science and Physics, College of Staten Island, CUNY, Staten Island, NY 10314, USA and The Graduate Center, CUNY, New York, NY 10016, USA

Liang Feng
Department of Electrical Engineering, The State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

arXiv:1611.03602v1 [physics.optics] 11 Nov 2016

The CPA-laser is a coexisting state of coherent perfect absorption and lasing that was proposed in parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric photonic systems. In this work we show that the spectral signature of a CPA-laser displayed by the singular value spectrum of the scattering matrix (S) can be orders of magnitude wider than that displayed by the eigenvalue spectrum of S. Since the former reflects how strongly light can be absorbed or amplified and the latter announces the spontaneous symmetry breaking of S, these contrasting spectral signatures indicate that near perfect absorption and extremely strong amplification can be achieved even in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase of S, which is known for and defined by its flux-conserving eigenstates. We also show that these contrasting spectral signatures are accompanied by strikingly different sensitivities to disorder and imperfection, suggesting that the eigenvalue spectrum is potentially suitable for sensing and the singular value spectrum for robust switching.

PACS numbers: 42.25.Bs, 11.30.Er, 42.82.Et

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric systems [1–3] has become one of the fastest growing fields in photonics during the past few years. While a true $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric photonic system requires judiciously balanced gain and loss elements such that its refractive index satisfies $n(x) = n^*(-x)$ [4–14], many of its novel properties stem from the underlying exceptional points [15–23] where two or more non-Hermitian eigenstates and their eigenvalues coalesce. As a result, the demonstrations of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems often utilized non-balanced gain and loss, including experiments on loss-enhanced transmission [22] and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric lasers [24–27]. This approach removes the stringent requirement on fine tuning the imaginary part of the refractive index, which also has the benefit of using loss-dominant structures to reduce the noise coming from amplified spontaneous emission.

Nevertheless, there are unique properties that are conveniently found in true $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems but not in their counterparts with unbalanced gain and loss. One of them is the generalized conservation law for wave propagation in one-dimensional (1D) and quasi-1D systems [11, 28], which was demonstrated in a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric RLC circuit [29]. Another one is the existence of CPA-laser points [30]. CPA, or coherent perfect absorption [31, 32], utilizes coherent illumination to suppress transmission and reflection, such that light is completely trapped in a pre-determined spatial domain to achieve perfect absorption. While based on the same principle as critical coupling (i.e., destructive interference) [33], CPA is a more general principle that can be viewed as a time-reversed lasing process and applies to arbitrarily complex systems, even if they are strongly scattering. If such a system is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric, then it was predicted that CPA must occur at a lasing frequency of the system [34], which is referred to as a CPA-laser. This intriguing phenomenon was envisioned to provide the ultimate extinction ratio for the "on" and "off" states of coherent signals, which was successfully demonstrated in a recent experiment [35].

In this work we show that a CPA-laser can display contrasting spectral signatures when probed using different methods. Since a CPA-laser exists only in a $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase of the eigenvalue spectrum of the scattering matrix (S) [34], one can refer to the width W of this $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase as a spectral signature of a CPA-laser. This approach requires locating the wavelengths of the two exceptional points that delineate this $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase. Alternatively, one can also probe a CPA-laser by observing the minimal and maximal output intensity at different wavelengths, which can be tuned into by changing the relative phase of the coherent illumination beams [30]. Such intensity information is contained by the singular value spectrum of S, which reflects directly how strong absorption and amplification are. As we shall see, when the singular values of S become unimodular simultaneously, the output intensity does not depend on the relative phase or amplitude of the input beams, where amplification and absorption disappear. The spectral signature of a CPA-laser can hence also be quantified by the wavelength difference V between two such input-insensitive points that confine a CPA-laser point.

It is striking that these two measures, W and V, can differ by several orders of magnitude, which indicates that near perfect absorption and extremely strong amplification can be achieved even in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase of

* li.ge@csi.cuny.edu

the scattering matrix, which is known for and defined by its flux-conserving eigenstates.

We also show that these contrasting spectral signatures of a CPA-laser are accompanied by very different sensitivities to imperfection. With a minute change of the imaginary part of the refractive index from the CPA-laser condition, the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase can be completely eliminated, while the singular value spectrum of S continues to show near perfect absorption and extremely strong amplification. In addition, the eigenstates of S in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase lead to a strong sensitivity to the relative amplitude of the input beams, whereas the singular vectors of S give rise to a strong sensitivity to the relative phase of the input beams. Even though $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is destroyed in the presence of disorder, we show that the singular value spectrum maintains an impressive intensity contrast between the amplifying and absorbing states. Therefore, one can potentially utilize the eigenvalue spectrum of S near a CPA-laser point for sensing and its singular value spectrum for robust switching.

Below we illustrate these results in a 1D $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure (see Fig. 1), which was used in the CPA-laser experiment mentioned above [35]. Using an approximate form of S derived from a coupled mode theory, we first show qualitatively that the CPA-laser in this system appears as a singular feature in the eigenvalue spectrum of S, i.e., the aforementioned measure W vanishes. In the meanwhile, the spectral width V displayed by the singular value spectrum is much more extended. We then verify these findings by deriving an exact form of S, which reveals that W and V follow different scaling behaviors with the system size, leading to their vastly different values in a large system. Finally we study the sensitivities of the CPA-laser using this exact form of S, and we comment on the general properties of the singular values of S in a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system. In the appendix we illustrate the forming of the CPA-laser points at the boundary of the Brillouin zone using the motions of the poles and zeros of S.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic of a 1D $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure, consisting of alternate layers of gain (g) and loss (l) regions.

II. SPECTRAL SIGNATURES OF A CPA-LASER

We resort to both transfer matrix and scattering matrix methods in our analyses below. As we will show shortly, while these two methods are equivalent to each other, they offer different advantages in the study of a CPA-laser. More specifically, the singular value and eigenvalue spectra of the scattering matrix illustrate the spectral signatures of a CPA-laser, whereas the transfer matrix method provides a convenient way to derive the relation between incident and scattered light amplitudes and hence S as well.

We base our discussion on the scalar Helmholtz equation of the electric field

$$\left[\nabla^2 + n^2(x)\, k_0^2\right] E(x; k_0) = 0, \tag{1}$$

which describes steady-state solutions for transverse electric waves in 1D systems. Here k_0 is the free-space wave number and $n(x)$ is the refractive index of the periodic structure. We consider a constant refractive index $n_j \equiv n_r + i n_i$ $(j = 1, 3, 5 \ldots)$ in each loss layer and a constant refractive index n_{j+1} in each gain layer (see Fig. 1), and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is satisfied by requiring $n_j = n_{j+1}^*$. The length of each layer is $a/2$ where a is the lattice constant, and the whole structure has N layers with a total length of $L = Na$. Outside the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric structure we assume the refractive index is n_0.

A. Coupled mode theory

We assume that the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic system is differentiated from the leads only by the weak modulation of the imaginary part of its refractive index, i.e., $n_r = n_0 \gg n_i$. Under this condition, we can take the following ansatz

$$E(x; k_0) = E_+(x) \exp(ikx) + E_-(x) \exp(-ikx), \tag{2}$$

where $k = n_r k_0$ is the effective wave number in the system and $E_\pm(x)$ are the slowing-varying envelopes (SVE) of the electrical fields propagating in the forward and backward directions. To derive the coupling between E_+ and E_-, we express the modulation of the refractive index by the summation of its Fourier components, i.e., $\mathrm{Im}[n(x)] = \Sigma_m f_m \exp(imqx)$, where m is an integer from $-\infty$ to ∞ and $q \equiv 2\pi/a$ is the lattice wave number. We note that $f_0 = 0$ due to $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, and near the boundary of the Brillouin zone, i.e., $k \approx q/2$, the scattering between the forward and backward propagating waves is mainly due to the $m = \pm 1$ components of $\mathrm{Im}[n(x)]$.

By keeping only these first-order scattering processes, we substitute $E(x; k_0)$ in Eq. (1) by the ansatz (2) and perform the SVE approximation, neglecting terms containing $d^2E_\pm/dx^2$ as well as n_i^2. The result is the following

coupled mode equation [35]:

$$i\frac{d}{dx}\begin{pmatrix}E_-\\E_+\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0&-\gamma\\-\gamma&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}E_-\\E_+\end{pmatrix}\equiv H\begin{pmatrix}E_-\\E_+\end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here H is the effective Hamiltonian of the system, and $\gamma=2n_ik_0/\pi$ is the coupling between $E_\pm$. By applying the evolution operation $\exp(-iHx)$, the transfer matrix M, defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix}E_-\\E_+\end{pmatrix}_{x=0}=M\begin{pmatrix}E_-\\E_+\end{pmatrix}_{x=L}, \quad (4)$$

is simply

$$M=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\gamma L&i\sin\gamma L\\i\sin\gamma L&\cos\gamma L\end{pmatrix}\equiv\begin{pmatrix}M_{11}&M_{12}\\M_{21}&M_{22}\end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

A lasing mode features an outgoing boundary condition, i.e., $E_+(0),E_-(L)=0$, which requires $M_{22}=0$; a CPA state features an incoming boundary condition, i.e., $E_+(L),E_-(0)=0$, which requires $M_{11}=0$. As can be seen from the expression above, M_{11} and M_{22} are identical and they vanish simultaneously in this $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure when $\cos\gamma L=0$. This condition is satisfied at the boundary of the Brillouin zone for discrete values of the gain and loss strength

$$n_i=\frac{n_r\pi}{4N}(2P-1)\quad(P=1,2,\ldots), \quad (6)$$

which does not depend on the value of the lattice constant a. In the appendix we show that these values of n_i are due to two different scenarios of pole (and zero) motions of the scattering matrix.

Next we discuss the spectral signature of this CPA-laser point displayed by the eigenvalue spectrum of the scattering matrix, defined by

$$|\Phi\rangle=S|\Psi\rangle,\ |\Phi\rangle=\begin{bmatrix}E_-(0)\\E_+(L)\end{bmatrix},\ |\Psi\rangle=\begin{bmatrix}E_+(0)\\E_-(L)\end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

S can exhibit spontaneous $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry breaking [34]: In the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase, its two eigenstates are both flux conserving, i.e., the corresponding eigenvalues $s_\pm(k_0)$ satisfy $|s_\pm(k_0)|=1$; in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase where a CPA-laser exists, they satisfy $|s_+(k_0)|=|s_-(k_0)|^{-1}>1$ instead. The lasing state occurs when $|s_+(k_0)|\to\infty$, and at the same time the CPA state can be realized in the eigenstate corresponding to $|s_-(k_0)|\to 0$. In a multi-layer system, there can be multiple regions of the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase [34], and each CPA-laser point is confined by such a $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase. Here we focus on the one at the boundary of the Brillouin zone and refer to its width W (in terms of the free-space wavelength $\lambda_0=2\pi/k_0$) as one spectral signature of the CPA-laser in our system.

The scattering matrix can be conveniently expressed using the elements of the transfer matrix, and in our case it is given by

$$S=\frac{1}{\cos\gamma L}\begin{pmatrix}i\sin\gamma L&1\\1&-i\sin\gamma L\end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We note that this expression is valid everywhere except exactly at the CPA-laser point, where the denominator diverges. It is straightforward to see that the two eigenvalues of S in Eq. (8) are always unimodular: $s_\pm=\pm\sqrt{1-\sin^2\gamma L}/\cos\gamma L=\pm1$, which means that the system is in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase except right at the CPA-laser point. Therefore, we conclude that within the coupled mode theory, the CPA-laser at the boundary of the Brillouin zone in our $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure is a singular feature with a vanishing W (see Fig. 2).

The spectral signature of the same CPA-laser point displayed by the singular value spectrum of S is completely different. The singular values $\sigma_\pm$ and the left and right singular vectors $(\phi_\pm,\psi_\pm)$ of S are defined by

$$S|\psi_\pm\rangle=\sigma_\pm|\phi_\pm\rangle,\quad S^\dagger|\phi_\pm\rangle=\sigma_\pm|\psi_\pm\rangle. \quad (9)$$

where "†" denotes the Hermitian conjugate as usual. $\sigma_\pm$ are non-negative real quantities, and $\phi_\pm,\psi_\pm$ each form an orthogonal basis, satisfying $\phi_m^\dagger\phi_n=\psi_m^\dagger\psi_n=\delta_{mn}$. To illustrate the physical meanings of the singular value spectrum, we express the input amplitudes in the basis of $|\psi_\pm\rangle$ (i.e., $|\Psi\rangle=C_+|\psi_+\rangle+C_-|\psi_-\rangle$) and consider the extinction ratio between the output and the input intensities, i.e., $\Theta=(|E_-(0)|^2+|E_+(L)|^2)/(|E_+(0)|^2+|E_-(L)|^2)$ [30]. It is easy to see that

$$\Theta=\frac{\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle}{\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle}=\frac{\langle\Psi|S^\dagger S|\Psi\rangle}{\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle}=\frac{\Sigma_{n=\pm}|C_n|^2\sigma_n^2}{\Sigma_{n=\pm}|C_n|^2}. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the absorbing state is given by the right singular vector $|\psi_-\rangle$ with $\sigma_-^2<1$, and coherence perfect absorption takes place when $\sigma_-=0$. Similarly, the amplifying state is given by $|\psi_+\rangle$ with $\sigma_+^2>1$, and lasing is achieved when $\sigma_+^2\to\infty$.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Eigenvalues (dashed lines) and singular values (solid lines) of the scattering matrix calculated using the coupled mode equation (3). Inset: $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure similar to Fig. 1, consisting 1000 alternate layers of gain and loss with refractive index $n_r=3.203\pm in_i$. n_i is given by Eq. (6) with $P=1$ and approximately 2.516×10^{-3}. $\lambda_q=2n_ra$ is the value of λ_0 at the CPA-laser point. The vertical section of the dashed line indicates the singular feature of the CPA-laser in the eigenvalue spectrum of the scattering matrix. The results shown are independent of the value of a.

We note that $\sigma_\pm$ satisfy $|\sigma_+\sigma_-| = 1$ due to the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of the system. This result can be derived in the following way. A $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric S satisfies $PS^*P = S^{-1}$ [34], where P is the matrix form of the parity operator and given by the first Pauli matrix here. Now for a 1D system with reciprocity [36], S is symmetric and hence $S^\dagger = S^*$. Therefore, by multiplying P from the left to the second relation in Eq. (9) and inserting an identity matrix $\mathbb{1} = P^2$ after $S^\dagger$, we find

$$S^{-1}P|\phi_\pm\rangle = \sigma_\pm|\psi_\pm\rangle. \tag{11}$$

This relation indicates two possibilities: either the left singular vectors $|\phi_\pm\rangle$ are parity eigenstates with $\sigma_\pm = \pm 1$, or $P|\phi_+\rangle = e^{i\theta}|\phi_-\rangle$ with $\sigma_+\sigma_- = e^{i\theta}$, both of which lead to $|\sigma_+\sigma_-| = 1$. To verify this property directly, we note that the coupled mode theory gives $\sigma_\pm^2 = (1 \pm \sin\gamma L)^2/\cos^2\gamma L$ away from the CPA-laser point using Eq. (8), which satisfy this property.

A consequence of $|\sigma_+\sigma_-| = 1$ is that there are points where $\sigma_\pm$ become unimodular simultaneously, which takes place in the coupled mode theory when $\sin\gamma L = 0$. At these places the extinction ratio Θ also becomes 1 and independent of $C_\pm$ as can be seen from Eq. (10), which means that the output intensity is independent of the relative phase *and* amplitude of the two input beams. This observation is a more general statement than what was pointed out in Ref. [32], where such points were found to be independent of the relative phase of the input beams. This general property is not unique to $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems, although the fact that they always occur at $|\sigma_\pm| = 1$ is. Another implication is that $|s_\pm|$ also go through these input-insensitive points, although in the present case it is not particular interesting since $|s_\pm| = 1$ in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase (see Fig. 2), where these input-insensitive points take place. Also note that the inverse of the statement is not true: $|\sigma_\pm|$ do not necessarily go through the points where $|s_\pm|$ become the same, whether or not the system is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric.

We then define another spectral signature, V, by the distance (in terms of λ_0) between two input-insensitive points that confine a CPA-laser point. In the coupled mode theory V is infinite, because $|\sigma_\pm|$ becomes 1 only on the shorter wavelength side of the CPA-laser (see Fig. 2), i.e., at $\lambda_0 = n_r a/P$ ($P = 1, 2, \ldots$). Although the vanishing value of W and the diverging value of V are underestimated and overestimated in the coupled mode theory, we show below, by calculating the scattering matrix rigorously, that indeed the spectral signatures displayed by its eigenvalue spectrum and singular value spectrum are vastly different.

B. Exact results

To verify the qualitative knowledge gained from the coupled mode theory, we start in this section by deriving an exact expression of the transfer matrix M:

$$M(k_0) = D_0^{-1}\left[\Pi_{j=1}^{2N} m_j\right] D_0. \tag{12}$$

m_j are the transfer matrices of each layer, and again there are N periods of gain and loss elements and a total of $2N$ layers. D_0 is given by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ n_0 & -n_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and D_0^{-1} is its matrix inverse. We note that a phase convention of $E_\pm(z)$ different from that in the coupled mode theory has been used in Eq. (12), i.e., $E_\pm(L) \to \exp(\mp ikL)E_\pm(L)$, which however does not bear any physical significance.

With a constant refractive index in each layer, m_j can be easily found to be:

$$m_j(k_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(n_j k_0 a/2) & \frac{i}{n_j}\sin(n_j k_0 a/2) \\ i n_j \sin(n_j k_0 a/2) & \cos(n_j k_0 a/2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denoting $s \equiv \sin(n_j k_0 a/2), c \equiv \cos(n_j k_0 a/2)$, the transfer matrix for one period is then given by

$$M_j \equiv m_j m_{j+1} = \begin{pmatrix} |c|^2 - \frac{n_j^*}{n_j}|s|^2 & i\frac{sc^*}{n_j} - c.c. \\ i n_j sc^* - c.c. & |c|^2 - \frac{n_j}{n_j^*}|s|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

where "*c.c.*" stands for complex conjugate. It is easy to check that $\det(M_j) = 1$ and it satisfies the constraints imposed by $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry [30]:

$$m_{11}(k_0) = m_{22}^*(k_0), \quad m_{12,21}(k_0) = -m_{12,21}^*(k_0), \tag{14}$$

where m_{pq} $(p, q = 1, 2)$ are the four elements of M_j. Note that k_0 is real here, and Eq. (13) needs to be modified if a complex k_0 is considered, for example, in the calculation of the poles and zeros of the S matrix (see the Appendix). For a unimodular matrix, its Nth power can be calculated analytically [38]:

$$M_j^N = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}U_{N-1} - U_{N-2} & m_{12}U_{N-1} \\ m_{21}U_{N-1} & m_{22}U_{N-1} - U_{N-2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

and here U_N are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind with argument $u = (m_{11} + m_{22})/2$:

$$U_N(u) = \frac{\sin[(N+1)\cos^{-1}u]}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}. \tag{16}$$

Using this rigorous result, we derive an exact form of the transfer matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u+\alpha & v-\beta \\ v+\beta & u-\alpha \end{pmatrix} U_{N-1}(u) - \mathbb{1}U_{N-2}(u), \tag{17}$$

where we have defined $v \equiv (m_{11} - m_{22})/2$, $\alpha \equiv (n_0 m_{12} + m_{21}/n_0)/2$, and $\beta \equiv (n_0 m_{12} - m_{21}/n_0)/2$. One can check that the elements of M satisfy the same relations (14) with m_{pq} replaced by M_{pq} and $\det M = (\det M_j)^N = 1$.

The corresponding scattering matrix is then given by

$$S = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} (v-\beta)U_{N-1}(u) & 1 \\ 1 & -(v+\beta)U_{N-1}(u) \end{bmatrix}}{(u-\alpha)U_{N-1}(u) - U_{N-2}(u)}. \tag{18}$$

Using this exact form of the scattering matrix, we again probe the spectral signatures of the CPA-laser point at the boundary of the Brillouin zone. A CPA-laser point

takes place when a pole and a zero of S becomes real and identical (see the discussions in the Appendix), and the pole condition is satisfied when the denominator in Eq. (18) becomes zero, or equivalently,

$$\frac{\sin\theta}{\tan N\theta} = \alpha, \tag{19}$$

where $\cos\theta \equiv u$. To the leading order of n_i and at the boundary of the Brillouin zone, we find $\alpha \approx 0$ and $\sin\theta \approx 2n_i/n_r \approx \theta$, which leads to $\cos N\theta \approx \cos(2Nn_i/n_r) \approx 0$. This result is equivalent to Eq. (6) obtained from the coupled mode theory.

Similar to the quantitative knowledge we gained from the coupled mode theory, we find that the CPA-laser has a more prominent spectral feature in the singular value spectrum of S than its eigenvalue spectrum [see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. It is striking to see that V^{-1} scales linearly with the system size N while W^{-1} scales quadratically with N (see the numerical results shown in Fig. 3(c); solid and dashed lines). For example, V is roughly 10 times W when $N = 10$, and this ratio increases dramatically to 1000 when there are 1000 periods. If we take the wavelength to be near infrared in the latter case, then V is on the order of nanometers while W is on the order of picometers.

To gain some analytical insights of these results, we expand m_{pq} to the leading order of n_i, which gives $u \approx c_0^2 - s_0^2$, $v = 2in_i s_0^2/n_r$, $\alpha \approx 2is_0c_0$, $\beta = 0$, where c_0, s_0 are defined similarly to s, c but with n_j replaced by n_r. By substituting these values into Eq. (18), we find the singular values of S:

$$\sigma_\pm^2 = \frac{[1 \pm vU_{N-1}(u)]^2}{|(u-\alpha)U_{N-1}(u) - U_{N-2}(u)|^2}. \tag{20}$$

They become equal when $U_{N-1}(u) = 0$, from which we derive

$$\frac{V}{\lambda_0} \approx 2N^{-1}. \tag{21}$$

Note that V still marks the distance between two input-insensitive points, a property inherent to the singular value spectrum and independent of the exact form of the scattering matrix. Similarly, by keeping the terms proportional to n_i^2, we find

$$\frac{W}{\lambda_0} \approx \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{2} - 2}\, N^{-2}, \tag{22}$$

which again marks the size of the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase of S. These scaling behaviors agree well with the numerical results we have seen in Fig. 3(c).

The contrasting values of V and W indicate that near perfect absorption and extremely strong amplification can also be achieved in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase of the scattering matrix (see Fig. 3(b), for example), which is known for and defined by its flux-conserving eigenstates as we have mentioned. This feature is a manifestation of the strong non-Hermicity of the system, where the squares of its singular values are no longer bounded by those of the absolute values of its eigenvalues.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Contrasting spectral signatures of a CPA-laser calculated using the exact scattering matrix. (a) Same as Fig. 2. (b) Zoomed view of (a) near the CPA-laser point. Shaded area indicates the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase of the scattering matrix. (c) W and V as a function of system size N. Numerical results of Eq. (18) are shown by the solid and dashed lines. The perturbation results (21) and (22) are shown by the dotted lines. The value of n_i is N-dependent and chosen according to Eq. (6) with $P = 1$.

III. SENSITIVITIES OF A CPA-LASER

Interestingly, the maximum and the minimum of the extinction ratio given by $\sigma_\pm^2$ do agree with $|s_\pm|^2$ in the original CPA experiment [32], even though the system (a uniform silicon slab) is also non-Hermitian (and S non-unitary) due to the presence of loss. This coincidence is a result of the mirror symmetry of the system *and* optical reciprocity: S contains identical diagonal elements (i.e., equal reflection coefficients from the two sides) due to the mirror symmetry and identical off-diagonal elements (i.e., the transmission coefficient) due to optical reciprocity. Consequently, $S^\dagger$ has the same eigenvectors as S itself,

which are given simply by symmetric and antisymmetric inputs of equal amplitudes. $\sigma_{\pm}^2$, given by the eigenvalues of $S^\dagger S$, are then equal to $|s_{\pm}|^2$.

By definition, a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system does not possess mirror symmetry if there is gain and loss. Therefore, we do not expect the extinction ratio Θ in Eq. (10) to be bounded by $|s_{\pm}|^2$. However, if we relax the requirement of a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure, i.e., allowing it to have an additional layer of gain or loss, then the system does have mirror symmetry, with which $\sigma_{\pm}^2 = |s_{\pm}|^2$ holds. Note that although we have mentioned in the introduction that CPA-lasing is a property of true $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems, there are exceptions in other types of systems, such as when accidental degeneracies of a pole and a zero of the scattering matrix take place [39] or when an imaginary permittivity and permeability satisfying $\varepsilon = -\mu$ are engineered [40]. Here this periodic structure with one additional layer of gain or loss is another exception: the CPA-laser point persists at the boundary of the Brillouin zone, which has the following simple physical interpretation. Since the effective wavelength inside the periodic structure is twice the lattice constant, the state with intensity peaks only in the loss layers gives rise to CPA and the state with intensities peaks only in the gain layers leads to lasing [35]. The additional layer of gain and loss does not break these patterns, and hence a CPA-laser point remains at the same wavelength.

In Fig. 4 we show that the spectral signatures W and V now become identical as expected. We note that the additional layer barely changes the singular value spectrum but completely alters the eigenvalue spectrum, which suggests that the latter is a good indicator of the true $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of the system. In fact, it is likely that the waveguide structure used in Ref. [35] was similar to this symmetric one here: the gain in the experiment was provided by the quantum wells on the chip and excited using a relatively long pump spot. Therefore, there were two sections of the waveguide outside the intended $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric region, one on each end, where gain was activated. Nevertheless,



FIG. 4. (Color online) Identical spectral signatures of a symmetric CPA-laser. The solid lines show the singular value spectrum and dots show the eigenvalue spectrum. Inset: The structure has one additional layer of gain added to the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric structure in Figs. 3(a) and (b), i.e., with 1001 layers of gain and 1000 layers of loss.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Sensitivities of CPA-laser to index variations and system disorder. In (a) n_i differs from its value used in Fig. 3(a) by 2.4×10^{-6}. In (b) n_r differs from its value used in Fig. 3(a) by 3×10^{-3}. In (c) both n_r and n_i are subjected to a Gaussian disorder with a standard deviation 1/1000 their values in Fig. 3(a), and the length of each layer also follows a Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation of $a/25$, which is about 10 nm when the CPA-laser wavelength λ_q is near 1.5 μm. Two examples (thick and thin lines) are shown in (c).

we have checked that the spectral signatures of the CPA-laser vary little even when the lengths of these two gain sections are several times the lattice constant, which is an indication of the robustness of this period system for CPA-laser operation.

The extremely sensitivity of the eigenvalue spectrum near the CPA-laser point can also be revealed by a slight variation of the refractive index, especially in its imaginary part. For the system with $N = 1000$ shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b), we find that a change of n_i on the order of 10^{-6} from the ideal value given by Eq. (6) is enough to completely eliminate the diverging feature of the eigenvalue spectrum at the CPA-laser point [see Fig. 5(a)], whereas the same deed requires a change of n_r on the order of 10^{-3} [see Fig. 5(b)]. This difference can be understood from Eq. (6): to keep the system at the CPA-laser point, a small variation of n_i would require a much larger compensation from the change of n_r when the system size is large. This sensitivity of the eigenvalue spectrum may be potentially utilized to detect environmental changes via n_i if the periodic system is clean and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric.

Although the singular value spectrum is also affected when the index varies, the output intensity contrast between the absorbing and amplifying states is still out-

standing as can be seen from Figs. 5(a) and (b), which indicates the robustness of CPA-laser operation in such a system. It can be shown that right at the boundary of the Brillouin zone, absorption and amplification disappear only when n_i equals the value given by Eq. (6) with P being a half integer, including the trivial case $n_i = 0$. To reach these points n_i needs to vary from its value at the CPA-laser point by at least 100%, and hence a small fractional change of n_i retains a large contrast between the extinction ratios in the absorbing and amplifying state.

This robustness holds even after taking the disorder of the system into consideration. For example, in Fig. 5(c) we introduce a Gaussian disorder to both the length and index of each layer. Although the highest gain and loss is reduced from the ideal case shown in Fig. 3(a), an intensity contrast of more than 60 dB still exists between the output light in the amplifying state and the absorbing state. Therefore, even though $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is destroyed by these disorders, the CPA-laser continues to perform well, similar to the case we have discussed with one additional layer of gain or loss.

So far we have discussed the contrasting sensitivities of the eigenvalue and singular value spectra of S near the CPA-laser point when the system is subjected to imperfection or disorder. In what follows we turn to a different type of imperfection, which lies in the amplitude and phase mismatch of the input light from the CPA-laser condition. For simplicity, we first turn to the clean periodic structure considered in Fig. 3 that has $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. S in a 1D $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system can be parametrized in general by [11]

$$S = \frac{1}{a}\begin{pmatrix} ib & 1 \\ 1 & ic \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

where b, c are two real quantities and satisfy $bc = |a|^2 - 1$. The complex amplitude ratios of the two input beams in its two eigenstates are given by [11]

$$\varpi_\pm = \frac{i}{2}[(c-b) \pm \sqrt{(b-c)^2 - 4}]. \tag{24}$$

In the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase $|b-c| > 2$, and $\varpi_\pm$ are imaginary with the same sign. This is an interesting observation because it indicates that the phase difference between the two input beams is exactly $\pi/2$ in *both* eigenstates of S, even though one of them gives rise to the CPA state and the other one is associated with the lasing state at the CPA-laser point. More importantly, this observation also indicates that away from the CPA-laser point but still in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase, one can switch between a strongly absorbing state and a strongly amplifying state by simply changing the intensity ratio of the two input beams, which correspond to the two eigenstates of S.

For example, in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system with $N = 1000$ considered in Figs. 3(a) and (b) and at the wavelength $2 \times 10^{-7}\lambda_0$ away from the CPA-laser point, changing the intensity ratio of the two input beams by merely 0.02 dB switches the system from the amplifying eigenstate to the absorbing eigenstate of S, resulting in a change of the extinction ratio Θ by more than 30 dB [see Fig. 6(a)]. This feature may potentially be exploited as a differential amplifier of light.

Moreover, since the eigenvalues of S are not the limits of Θ, we can further increase the intensity contrast of the switching. For a quantitative analysis, we turn to the relative phase and amplitude of the right singular vectors of S, which correspond to the maximally amplifying and absorbing states. These right singular vectors are also the eigenvectors of $S^\dagger S$, which can be expressed as follows using Eq. (23):

$$S^\dagger S = \frac{1}{|a|^2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+b^2 & -i(b-c) \\ i(b-c)1 & 1+c^2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

In our system $b \approx -i(v-\beta)U_{M-1} \approx -c \ll 1$, then it is straightforward to see that the eigenstates of $S^\dagger S$ are $(i \;\; 1)^T$ and $(-i \;\; 1)^T$ to a very good approximation, with the former corresponding to the maximally absorbing state and "T" denoting the matrix transpose. Therefore, by fixing the relative phase between the left and right input beam at $\pi/2$, we find that Θ in the absorbing state can be further reduced to -74 dB in Fig. 6(a) when



FIG. 6. (Color online) Sensitivities of a CPA-laser to the relative amplitude (a) and phase (b) of the two input beams. In (a) the relative phase of the two beams are fixed at $\pi/2$, with the open circles marking the scattering eigenstates of S and the filled circle marking the right singular vector of S in the maximally absorbing state. In (b) the relative amplitude of the two input beams is fixed at 1, with the filled circles marking the maximally absorbing and amplifying states. In both (a) and (b) the wavelength is slightly away from the CPA-laser condition as mentioned in the main text, and the clean system is the same as in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). (c) and (d) Similar to (a) and (b) but with one realization of the disorder considered in Fig. 5(c). In (c) the relative phase of the two input beams is fixed at its value in the maximally absorbing state, which is shifted away from $\pi/2$ due to disorder [see (d)].

reducing the input intensity ratio by 10^{-3} dB from the absorbing eigenstate of S. The maximally absorbing state also shows good sensitivity to the relative phase of the input beams as we show in Fig. 6(b), while its amplifying partner does not.

These extraordinary sensitivities can be potentially utilized to detect a minute amplitude and phase change of a coherent signal. For example, one can imagine splitting a coherent signal from an external laser source into two beams of similar amplitudes and feeding them into the two sides of a CPA-laser. In this setup one beam after the splitter can be exposed to a region where there are events that change the phase or amplitude of this beam. These events can then be detected by observing Θ at the output ports of the CPA-laser. Unlike a standard interferometer, this setup can also detect a minute amplitude difference occurred when light propagates along the two legs.

From the discussions above, we see that the amplitude sensitivity can be attributed to the eigenvalue spectrum of S, whereas the phase sensitivity can be associated with the singular value spectrum of S. These sensitivities are reduced in the presence of disorder and imperfection that destroy the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry of the system [see Figs. 6(c) and (d)]. While detrimental for sensing applications, they reduce the challenge to tune into the maximally absorbing state and hence increases the feasibility of building and operating a CPA-laser.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Using a coupled mode theory and a rigorous transfer matrix calculation, we have shown that a CPA-laser in a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic structure can display contrasting spectral signatures in the eigenvalue spectrum and the singular value spectrum of the scattering matrix. These two spectra are of particular interest because the eigenvalue spectrum defines the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry breaking of a scattering system, while the singular value spectrum imposes the limits of absorption and amplification in a CPA-laser under realistic conditions. The quantitative measures of these two spectra, W and V, scale with the system size linearly and quadratically, which lead to their vastly different values when the system size is large. Another length-related behavior in $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric periodic systems is the disappearance of unidirectional invisibility [10–12], where perfect transmission and vanished reflection from one side of the system take place only when the system size is small [37].

We have also discussed the contrasting sensitivities of these two spectra for different types of imperfection and disorder, for both the system itself and for the two coherent input beams. On the one hand, thanks to the same relative phase between the latter in the amplifying and absorbing eigenstates of S, we have suggested a differential amplifier for light, which may also be incorporated into an interferometric setup to detect minute phase and amplitude differences along its two legs. On the other hand, the singular value spectrum attests to the robust of a CPA-laser in a periodic structure, even when the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry does not hold due to disorder and experimental implementation. Note that we have not included quantum or thermal noises in our analysis, which will impose additional limits on the sensitivity of using a CPA-laser as a detector [41]. We also remark that if one attempts to use the other definition of S, i.e., with the transmission coefficient on the diagonal (see the discussions in Ref. [11]), one losses the sensitive information contained in spontaneous $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry breaking, because its $|s_\pm|^2$ are almost identical to $\sigma_\pm$ here (not shown).

Finally, we have argued in Sec. II A that $|\sigma_+\sigma_-| = 1$ using the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the system. Now utilizing the general expression (25) for $S^\dagger S$ and the property $bc = |a|^2 - 1$ [11], we find $\sigma_+\sigma_- = (1+bc)^2/|a|^2 = 1$, which further specifies the opposite signs of $\sigma_\pm$. Although this property is similar to that of the eigenvalues of S in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase (i.e., $s_+ s_-^* = 1$), the singular value spectrum of S does not undergo a spontaneous symmetry breaking. This is because the left (right) singular vectors of S are also the eigenstates of the Hermitian matrix $SS^\dagger$ ($S^\dagger S$), and as a result, these vectors form an orthogonal basis and cannot coalesce. We also know from basic matrix theory that singular values are non-negative real numbers, and hence they cannot become complex conjugate pairs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

L.G. acknowledges support by NSF under grant No. DMR-1506987. L.F. acknowledges support by NSF under grant DMR-1506884.

APPENDIX: POLES AND ZEROS OF THE S MATRIX

In the main text we treated k_0 as a real quantity when deriving the exact form of the S matrix. If a complex k_0 is considered, then Eq. (13) is modified to

$$M_j = \begin{bmatrix} c\tilde{c} - \frac{n^* s\tilde{s}}{n} & i\frac{s\tilde{c}}{n} + i\frac{c\tilde{s}}{n^*} \\ ins\tilde{c} + in^* c\tilde{s} & c\tilde{c} - \frac{ns\tilde{s}}{n^*} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{s} \equiv \sin(n_j^* k_0 a/2), \tilde{c} \equiv \cos(n_j^* k_0 a/2)$. M_j satisfies the constraints imposed by $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry [30]:

$$m_{11}(k_0) = m_{22}^*(k_0^*), \quad m_{12,21}(k_0) = -m_{12,21}^*(k_0^*). \tag{27}$$

The analytical form of the S matrix given by Eq. (18) still holds with the modified M_j, and one can show that a pole and zero of S form a complex conjugate pair [34].

Note that since the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric structure we considered is differentiated from the leads only by the weak modulation of the imaginary part of its refractive index, there is no resonance or anti-resonance when $n_i = 0$. As n_i becomes nonzero and increases, there is one pair of pole and zero emerging from $\mathrm{Im}[k_0] = \pm\infty$ that move almost



FIG. 7. (Color online) Trajectories of S matrix poles (solid) and zeros (dashed) when n_i increases. In (a) $n_i \in [2.5 \times 10^{-3},\ 0.25]$ and the central pair of pole and zero meet on the real axis. In (b) $n_i \in [0.25,\ 0.72]$ and the second pair from the right meet on the real axis. The $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric structure is chosen to be shorter ($N = 10$) than that in Fig. 3(a), with all other parameters kept the same. $k_q \equiv 2\pi/\lambda_q = q/2n_r$ is the free-space wave number at the boundary of the Brillouin zone.

vertically toward each other [see Fig. 7(a)]. This behavior is qualitatively different from the case where gain and loss is added gradually to an existing cavity (i.e., $n_r \neq n_0$), where two poles (zeros) undergo an anti-crossing with only one of them leading to a CPA-laser point [34].

In the current case, this pair of pole and zero eventually meet on the real axis when the CPA-laser condition is first satisfied, i.e., when n_i equals the value given Eq. (6) with $P = 1$. Afterwards they continue to move into the other vertical half of the complex k_0 plane. Note that at this CPA-laser condition with $P = 1$, there are other poles (zeros) with a finite imaginary part. They undergo anti-crossing pairwise as n_i further increases, just like in the $n_r \neq n_0$ case; each pair of poles (zeros) also only gives rise to a single CPA-laser point [see Fig. 7(b)], at the value of n_i given by Eq. (6) with $P > 1$. In Fig. 7 the periodic structure is relatively short and the values of n_i at the CPA-laser points deviate slightly from Eq. (6).

[1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having $\mathcal{PT}$ Symmetry*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243 (1998).

[2] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisinger, *$\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetric Quantum Mechanics*, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 2201 (1999).

[3] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, *Complex Extension of Quantum Mechanics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 270401 (2002).

[4] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, *Theory of Coupled Optical $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetric Structures*, Opt. Lett. **32**, 2632 (2007).

[5] S. Klaiman, U. Gunther, and N. Moiseyev, *Visualization of Branch Points in $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetric Waveguides*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080402 (2008).

[6] Z. H. Musslimani, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, *Optical Solitons in $\mathcal{PT}$ Periodic Potentials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030402 (2008).

[7] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, *Beam Dynamics in $\mathcal{PT}$ Symmetric Optical Lattices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 103904 (2008).

[8] T. Kottos, *Optical Physics: Broken Symmetry Makes light Work*, Nat. Phys. **6**, 166 (2010).

[9] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M. -A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, *Parity-Time Synthetic Photonic Lattices*, Nature 488, 167 (2012).

[10] Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, *Unidirectional Invisibility Induced by PT-Symmetric Periodic Structures*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 213901 (2011).

[11] L. Ge, Y. D. Chong, and A. D. Stone, *Conservation Relations and Anisotropic Transmission Resonances in One-Dimensional $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetric Photonic Heterostructures*, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 023802 (2012).

[12] L. Feng, Y.-L. Xu, W. S. Fegadolli, M.-H. Lu, J. E. B. Oliveira, V. R. Almeida, Y.-F. Chen, and A. Scherer, *Experimental Demonstration of a Unidirectional Reflectionless Parity-Time Metamaterial at Optical Frequencies*, Nat. Mater. **12**, 108 (2013).

[13] P. Ambichl, K. G. Makris, L. Ge, Y. Chong, A. D. Stone, and S. Rotter, *Breaking of $\mathcal{PT}$ Symmetry in Bounded and Unbounded Scattering Systems*, Phys. Rev. X **3**, 041030 (2013).

[14] L. Ge and A. D. Stone, *Parity-Time Symmetry Breaking beyond One Dimension: the Role of Degeneracy*, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 031011 (2014).

[15] J. Okolowicz, M. Ploszajczak, and I. Rotter, *Dynamics of Quantum Systems Embedded in a Continuum*, Phys. Rep. **374**, 271 (2003).

[16] W. D. Heiss, *Exceptional Points of Non-Hermitian Operators*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 2455 (2004).

[17] M. V. Berry, *Physics of Nonhermitian Degeneracies*, Czechoslovak J. Phys. **54**, 1039 (2004).

[18] N. Moiseyev, *Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics* (Cambridge, New York, 2011).

[19] C. Dembowski, H.-D. Gräf, H. L. Harney, A. Heine, W. D. Heiss, H. Rehfeld, and A. Richter, *Experimental Observation of the Topological Structure of Exceptional Points*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 787 (2001).

[20] J. Wiersig, S.-W. Kim, and M. Hentschel, *Asymmetric Scattering and Nonorthogonal Mode Patterns in Optical Microspirals*, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053809 (2008).

[21] S.-B. Lee, J. Yang, S. Moon, S.-Y. Lee, J.-B. Shim, S. W. Kim, J.-H. Lee, and K. An, *Observation of an Exceptional Point in a Chaotic Optical Microcavity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 134101 (2009).

[22] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou and D. N. Christodoulides, *Observation of $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetry Breaking in Complex Optical Potentials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).

[23] M. Liertzer, L. Ge, A. Cerjan, A. D. Stone, H. E. Türeci, and S. Rotter, *Pump-Induced Exceptional Points in Lasers*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 173901 (2012).

[24] L. Feng, Z. J. Wong, R. Ma, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, *Parity-Time Synthetic Laser*, Science **346**, 972-975 (2014).

[25] H. Hodaei, M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, *Parity-TimeSymmetric Microring Lasers*, Science **346**, 975-978 (2014).

[26] R. El-Ganainy, M. Khajavikhan, and L. Ge, *Excep-*

tional Points and Lasing Self-Termination in Photonic Molecules, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 013802 (2014).

[27] L. Ge and R. El-Ganainy, *Nonlinear Modal Interactions in Parity-Time ($\mathcal{PT}$) Symmetric Lasers*, Sci. Rep. **6**, 24889 (2016).

[28] L. Ge, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and L. Feng, *Scattering in PT- and RT-Symmetric Multimode Waveguides: Generalized Conservation Laws and Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking beyond One Dimension*, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 062135 (2015).

[29] Z. Lin, J. Schindler, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, *Experimental Observation of the Dual Behavior of PT-Symmetric Scattering*, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 050101 (2012).

[30] S. Longhi, *PT-Symmetric Laser Absorber*, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 031801(R) (2010).

[31] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Cao, and A. D. Stone, *Coherent Perfect Absorbers: Time-Reversed Lasers*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 053901 (2010).

[32] W. Wan, Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone, and H. Cao, *Time-Reversed Lasing and Interferometric Control of Absorption*, Science **331**, 889 (2011).

[33] A. Yariv, *Critical Coupling and its Control in Optical Waveguide-Ring Resonator Systems*, IEEE Photon. Tech. Lett. **14**, 483-485 (2002).

[34] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, and A. D. Stone, *$\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetry Breaking and Laser-Absorber Modes in Optical Scattering systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 093902 (2011).

[35] Z. J. Wong, Y.-L. Xu, J. Kim, K. OBrien, Y. Wang, L. Feng, X. Zhang, *Lasing and Anti-Lasing in a Single Cavity*, Nat. Photon. advanced online publication (doi:10.1038/nphoton.2016.216).

[36] L. Ge and L. Feng, *Optical-Reciprocity-Induced Symmetry in Photonic Heterostructures and its Manifestation in Scattering $\mathcal{PT}$-Symmetry Breaking*, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 043836 (2016).

[37] S. Longhi, *Invisibility in PT-Symmetric Complex Crystals*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 485302 (2011).

[38] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 7th ed. (University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999).

[39] A. Mostafazadeh, *Self-Dual Spectral Singularities and Coherent Perfect Absorbing Lasers without PT-Symmetry*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 444024 (2012).

[40] P. Bai, K. Ding, G. Wang, J. Luo, Z.-Q. Zhang, C. T. Chan, Y. Wu, Y. Lai, *Simultaneous Realization of Coherent Perfect Absorber and Laser by Zero-Index Media with both Gain and Loss*, arXiv:1608.07003.

[41] Y. D. Chong, H. Cao, and A. D. Stone, *Noise Properties of Coherent Perfect Absorbers and Critically Coupled Resonators*, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 13843 (2013).